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Abstract
This study evaluated the effectiveness of methods commonly used for sterilization of teeth and their influence on proteolytic activity of bovine dentin. Bovine dentin blocks were contaminated with E. faecalis suspension for 24 h, and then randomized into four groups (n=5): G1 - gamma radiation (GR); G2 - ultraviolet light (UV); G3 - ethylene oxide (EO), and G4 - autoclaving (AC). Effectiveness of methods was verified based on the growth of microorganisms on blood agar culture medium, and the proteolytic activity of dentin assessed by in situ Zymography. No microbial growth was observed for GR, OE and AC groups, while UV was not capable to reduce CFUs of E. faecalis. GR, EO and AC increased the proteolytic activity of MMPs, in contrast to UV method. It could be concluded that the methods considered effective for teeth sterilization affected the proteolytic activity of bovine dentin.
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Introduction

In vitro and in situ researches that use human or bovine extracted teeth normally require that teeth being sterilized, in order to avoid cross infection (Kumar et al., 2005). Autoclaving, gamma radiation, and ethylene oxide have been described in the literature as methods capable of decontaminate dental substrates (Sandhu et al., 2012). However, few evidence has been found about the effect of these methods on the organic content of dentin. Then, the current study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of methods commonly used for sterilization of teeth, and to assess their effects on proteolytic activity of bovine dentin.

Results and Discussion

Flow-chart of the study design

Effectiveness of methods used for teeth sterilization

No microbial growth was observed for gamma radiation or ethylene oxide methods, similarly to autoclaving. However, irradiation with ultraviolet light was not capable to eliminate or reduce CFUs of E. faecalis (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of CFU/ml count in each sterilization group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive Control</td>
<td>4.0 x 10^5</td>
<td>0.4 x 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma Radiation</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultraviolet Light</td>
<td>3.6 x 10^5</td>
<td>0.5 x 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene Oxide</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoclaving</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proteolytic activity of bovine dentin

Gamma radiation, ethylene oxide and autoclaving methods increased the proteolytic activity of bovine dentin when compared to their controls. In contrast, irradiation with ultraviolet light did not cause any change on MMPs activity, as showed in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Representative images of proteolytic activity of dentin after had submitted to different methods of sterilization. A- 3D reconstruction. B- Acquired images in green channel presenting the fluorescence of dentin. C- Differential interference contrast (DIC) showing the optical density of dentin. D- Merged images of B and C.

Conclusions

It could be concluded that the same methods considered effective for teeth sterilization (gamma radiation, ethylene oxide and autoclaving) affected negatively the proteolytic activity of bovine dentin.
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