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Abstract
Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) is a pseudocereal that has been highlighted in global research for its rich nutritional composition. This study had as objective to investigate the farinographic and alveographic properties of wheat flour (WF) with the incorporation of 30 and 45% whole grain buckwheat flour (WGBF). The following parameters were evaluated: water absorption (WA), arrival time (AT), dough development time (DDT), stability (S), resistance to extension (P), extensibility (L) and flour strength (W). Differences were observed in farinographic and alveographic behavior with the addition of WGBF. However, it can be predicted that the incorporation of 30 and 45% of WGBF is possible without causing major damages to parameters such as WA and P, expanding the possibility of the production of breads with the addition of buckwheat flour.
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Introduction
Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) is a pseudocereal that has no gluten and contains a variety of nutrients and phenolic compound, the consumption of which is associated with a lower risk of diseases1. The incorporation of raw materials without gluten in breadmaking products alters dough characteristics, usually causing great effects on rheological properties. The farinograph and the alveograph are two devices widely used to assess the behavior of flours.

This study had as objective to investigate the farinographic and alveographic properties of WF with the incorporation of 30 and 45% WGBF.

Results and Discussion
Table 1 shows the farinographic properties of WF and of blends with 30 and 45% WGBF. The flour used had 2.39 ± 0.15% (WF) and 24.43 ± 0.91% (WGBF) total dietary fiber contents.

Table 1. Farinographic properties of WF and of the blends with 30 and 45% WGBF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blends</th>
<th>WA (%)</th>
<th>AT (min)</th>
<th>DDT (min)</th>
<th>S (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% WF</td>
<td>58.3±0.57a</td>
<td>1.20±0.07bc</td>
<td>11.80±0.35a</td>
<td>16.17±0.87a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% WGBF</td>
<td>56.93±0.21a</td>
<td>1.30±0.17b</td>
<td>7.07±0.59b</td>
<td>9.93±0.21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% WGBF</td>
<td>57.03±0.15a</td>
<td>4.73±0.13a</td>
<td>7.37±0.76b</td>
<td>8.83±0.25b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was observed that the samples did not present significant difference in WA values. Gluten-free raw materials tend to decrease WA; however, when using the WF associated with WGBF, that is rich in fiber, the WA remained the same.

For AT, it can be seen that the blend with 45% WGBF presented a longer time compared to the other samples, probably due to the higher fiber content, as they have a lower rate of hydration than the proteins5. DDT and S followed the same behavior and there was a decrease in the parameters with the addition of 30 and 45% of WGBF, due to the dilution of gluten in the blends4.

Table 2 shows the alveographic properties.

Table 2. Alveographic properties of WF and of the blends with 30 and 45% of WGBF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blends</th>
<th>P (mm)</th>
<th>L (mm)</th>
<th>W (x10^-4 J)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% WF</td>
<td>100.7±1.03b</td>
<td>61.00±2.65a</td>
<td>271.07±24.95a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% WGBF</td>
<td>128.22±14.84a</td>
<td>24.33±3.21b</td>
<td>133.29±22.17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% WGBF</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of the blend with 45% WGBF could not be accomplished by having parameters that were not read by the equipment due to the strength of fibers in the sample.

Conclusions
With the results of the analyses it can be concluded that the evaluation of farinographic and alveographic properties proved to be important for predicting the behavior of blends, being interesting processing bread with the addition of 30 and 45% of FSG, because of its nutritional benefits.
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